FLORENCE 4 SCHOOL DISTRICT 220 N. Pinckney Street Timmonsville, SC 29161 PK-12 GRADES 1.065 Students ENROLLMENT Valerie E. Harrison, Ed.D 843-346-5391 SUPERINTENDENT BOARD CHAIR Eleanor J. Pigate 843-346-3042 FISCAL AUTHORITY District Board/Referendum THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Below Average	N/A

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSA	GE OF ONE	or More	SUBTES	TS OF TH	IE EXIT E	XAM
		Our Distric	ct	Distri	icts with Stud Like Ours	dents
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	69.7	49.2	32.8	53.2	50.9	47.4
Passed 2 subtests	6.1	16.9	26.2	20.1	22.1	21.9
Passed 1 subtest	10.6	11.9	26.2	15.4	13.9	17.4
Passed no subtests	13.6	22.0	13.1	11.3	13.0	12.9

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP	s	
Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	4.7	4.4
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	4.7	5.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	39.1	34.5

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

PACT PERFORMANCE	E BY GR	OUP							
		BAND TESTING	/,	Elon Basic		Proficient of	Advanced Advanced	itentand Advanced State	e Otile d
	/11	Jell Jest	lested ologic	ONBO	Basic ok	oroficia	Advanta St	ciemance	Ople
	Enon	1940, 0/0	ologe	9/	0/0	0/0	k elbio.	Mar Char	ړ.
	_ ' '		, Ei	iglish/Lar	iguage Ai		_ `	/ 5	
All students	528	98.9	50.4	39.1	10.3	0.2	10.5	17.6	li
Gender	320	00.0	00.4	00.1	10.0	0.2	10.0	17.0	ш
Male	266	98.1	57.3	34.9	7.9		7.9	17.6	ı
Female	262	99.6	43.5	43.5	12.7	0.4	13.1	17.6	
Racial/Ethnic Group									1
White	65	98.5	34.5	56.4	9.1		9.1	17.6	
African-American	459	98.9	52.6	37.0	10.2	0.2	10.4	17.6	ш
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0						17.6	
Hispanic	2	100.0						17.6	
American Indian/Alaskan		0.0						17.6	
Disability Status									
Not disabled	439	99.3	46.4	41.4	12.0	0.2	12.2	17.6	Į į
Disabled	89	96.6	71.4	27.3	1.3		1.3	17.6	
Migrant Status									
Migrant		0.0						17.6	ш
Non-migrant	528	98.9	50.4	39.1	10.3	0.2	10.5	17.6	
English Proficiency									
Limited English proficient		0.0						17.6	ш
Non-limited English proficient	528	98.9	50.5	39.0	10.3	0.2	10.5	17.6	
Socio-Economic Status									1 :
Subsidized meals	424	98.8	53.6	38.5	7.9		7.9	17.6	П
Full-pay meals	95	98.9	36.4	42.0	20.5	1.1	21.6	17.6	
					matics				
All students	528	99.1	48.5	43.3	6.9	1.3	8.2	15.5	
Gender									
Male .	266	99.2	51.2	41.7	6.2	0.8	7.0	15.5	ш
Female	262	98.9	45.8	44.9	7.6	1.7	9.3	15.5	l i
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	65	100.0	32.7	54.5	9.1	3.6	12.7	15.5	
African-American	459	98.9	50.7	41.9	6.4	0.9	7.3	15.5	
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0						15.5	
Hispanic	2	100.0						15.5	
American Indian/Alaskan		0.0						15.5	
Disability Status									
Not disabled	439	99.5	45.0	45.5	8.0	1.5	9.5	15.5	
Disabled	89	96.6	67.1	31.6	1.3		1.3	15.5	
Migrant Status									
Migrant		0.0						15.5	
Non-migrant	528	99.1	48.5	43.3	6.9	1.3	8.2	15.5	J i
English Proficiency									
Limited English proficient		0.0						15.5	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

48.4

49.1

46.1

528

424

95

99.1

98.8

100.0

43.4

45.5

33.7

6.9

4.6

16.9

1.3

8.0

3.4

8.2

5.4

20.2

15.5

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

	Enroll	Payof of	0/0 B	9/0	2pg 0/2	0/0	AL OI Profi
				n/Langua	ge Arts		
▲ Grade 3	79		33.3	44.9	20.5	1.3	21.8
Grade 4	66		35.4	50.8	13.8		13.8
Grade 5	73		48.5	45.6	5.9		5.9
Grade 6	75		62.2	33.8	4.1		4.1
Grade 7	91		58.2	29.7	11.0	1.1	12.1
Grade 8	80		57.5	35.0	7.5		7.5
▲ Grade 3	96	97.9	34.5	51.7	13.8		13.8
Grade 4	87	98.9	37.7	44.2	18.2		18.2
g Grade 5	86	100.0	48.6	44.6	6.8		6.8
Grade 6	82	97.6	61.5	26.9	11.5		11.5
Grade 7	85	98.8	63.2	35.5	1.3		1.3
Crado	92	100.0	58.1	31 4	0.3	12	10.5

				M	athematic	s		
	Grade 3	79		35.4	44.3	16.5	3.8	20.3
	Grade 4	66		36.4	57.6	6.1		6.1
2002	Grade 5	73		39.7	47.1	10.3	2.9	13.2
2	Grade 6	75		74.3	23.0	2.7		2.7
	Grade 7	91		63.7	25.3	8.8	2.2	11.0
•	Grade 8	80		57.5	41.3	1.3		1.3
	Grade 3	96	97.9	43.2	53.4	3.4		3.4
	Grade 4	87	97.7	35.5	51.3	11.8	1.3	13.2
2003	Grade 5	86	100.0	37.8	52.7	6.8	2.7	9.5
2	Grade 6	82	100.0	53.2	30.4	13.9	2.5	16.5
	Grade 7	85	100.0	60.5	35.5	2.6	1.3	3.9
	Grade 8	92	98.9	60.0	36.5	3.5		3.5

STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

		Percer	ntage of st	udents sco	oring in the	e upper ha	lf, 2002			
	Reading Language				Ma	ath	To	Total		
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation		
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0		
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0		
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0		

^{*} Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

				Percent of students scoring							
			Adva	anced	Prof	icient	Ba	sic	Below	/ Basic	
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25	
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14	
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			y for LIFE irships*	Graduat	tion Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	56	92.9%	64	4.7%	77	68.8%
Gender						
Male	25	88.0%	32	3.1%	41	63.4%
Female	31	96.8%	32	6.3%	36	75.0%
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	41	90.2%	50	2.0%	62	66.1%
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	15	100.0%	14	14.3%	15	80.0%
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	9	0.0%	12	8.3%
Students without disabilities	56	92.9%	55	5.5%	0	80.0%
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	64	4.7%	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	56	92.9%	64	4.7%	77	68.8%
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	37	94.6%	41	7.3%	49	67.3%
Full-pay meals	19	89.5%	23	0.0%	28	71.4%

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Ver	bal	Ma	ath	To	tal
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
District	412	387	428	422	840	809
State	488	493	493	496	981	989
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026

ACT	Eng	lish	Ma	ıth	Rea	ding	Scie	ence	To	tal
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
District	13.5	14.9	15.1	15.1	14.7	14.9	15.1	16.1	14.8	15.3
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

DISTRICT PROFILE	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Media Distric
Students (n= 1,065)			Ours	
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	5.1%	4.0%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards	94.3% N/A	Down from 94.8% N/A	95.1% N/A	95.4% N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	5.8% N/A	Down from 5.9% N/A	5.4% N/A	10.7% N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A 10.8%	N/A Up from 10.7%	N/A 10.5%	N/A 10.6%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	6.7% 0.4%	Down from 6.8% Down from 0.6%	6.9% 2.3%	5.5%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	11.5%	N/A	N/A	10.0%
Successful on AP/IB exams Enrolled in adult education GED or	N/A 40	N/A Down from 42	N/A 167	N/A 186
diploma programs Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	7	Up from 1	27	40
Teachers (n= 92)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	40.2% 52.2%	Up from 35.6% Down from 61.1%	40.2% 75.2%	47.8% 82.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous yea	N/A ar 79.2%	N/A Up from 78.0%	N/A 82.4%	N/A 89.5%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	91.8% \$37,928	Down from 95.0% Up 2.9%	94.5% \$37,928	95.1% \$39,707
Prof. development days/teacher	5.0 days	Down from 9.3 days	11.4 days	11.3 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district Student-teacher ratio	2.0 14.2 to 1	Up from 1.0 Up from 10.8 to 1	3.0 18.3 to 1	3.0 20.6 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	83.0% \$9,788	Down from 86.4% Up 19.2%	87.0% \$8,645	89.0% \$7,412
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	54.3% Good	Up from 50.7% No change	53.9% Good	56.0% Excellen
Parents attending conferences Number of schools	95.4% 3	Up from 38.3% No change	95.4% 5	96.1% 8
Number of magnet schools Number of charter schools	0	No change No change	0	(
Portable classrooms Average age in years of school facilit	2.3%	Down from 4.2% N/A	4.9% 37	3.5% 26
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	0	N/A	5	8
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		Our Dis	trict Sta	ite
Highly qualified teachers in low pove	rty schools	N/A	N/	Ά
Highly qualified teachers in high pove	erty schools	N/A	N/	Ά
		s for Missing Data		

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership

7 trustees elected to at-large seats

Fiscal Authority

District Board/Referendum

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 38.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Despite budget cuts and limited resources, Florence County School District Four continues to strive for excellence by overcoming challenges and seizing opportunities to do better. Our focus remains on the improvement of teaching and learning. Continuous progress is our goal and it is evident that our students and teachers are making strides in many areas.

Brockington Elementary School staff and students work daily as a community of readers through involvement in proven reading activities/strategies and using technology as a tool for improving student learning. Johnson Middle School students and teachers are using strategies designed to improve teaching and learning of the South Carolina Curriculum standards. Timmonsville High School has the distinction of being a pilot-site for Temple University's Community for Learners program that is focused on improving student learning, teaching skills and community involvement. Additionally, in 2003, Timmonsville High School seniors received over \$1,300,000 in college scholarship offers. All Florence Four Schools are currently accredited by the South Carolina Department of Education and will be seeking regional SACS accreditation during the 2003-04 school year. We are definitely on our way!

The learning environment at Brockington Elementary School will be enhanced by completion of the two-classroom construction project that is underway. In early fall, this space will be used as a computer lab and a 4k classroom. A \$252,000 school renovation grant will allow the relocation of the district alternative school to the old Timmonsville High School property in a more positive setting during the 2003-2004 school year. Strategies for enhancing, maintaining and restoring other district facilities are under review by district staff.

I encourage each of you to work with the Florence County School District Four Board of Trustees and the district administration to continuously improve educational opportunities for our children. Together, steady progress toward excellence in athletics and academics will continue in Timmonsville public schools. With your help and support, we will strategically make it so.

Valerie E. Harrison, Ed.D., District Superintendent

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal